

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,167	04/22/2004	Gerhard Ehmig	DT-6797	8102
30377 7590 01/19/2007			EXAMINER	
DAVID TOREN, ESQ. ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAB	ELDRED, JOHN W			
666 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017-5621			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TORK,	111 10017 3021		3641	
			MAH DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		01/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/830,167	EHMIG ET AL.	
Examiner	. Art Unit	
J. Woodrow Eldred	3641	

	J. Woodrow Eldred	3641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI '06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.3		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		III be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			•
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	a a	0 0
13.	Ç	J. Woodlan E.	
	/	J. Woodrow Eldred Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3641	l

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitation of the propellant holder being the element which contains the data storage identification unit would require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 17 as it stands does not require the propellant holder to contain the data storage unit, and the 103 rejection for claims 1-16 and 18 are considered proper for the reasons stated in the Final Office Action.